Proposed Resolution by Regents Relative to Land Acquisition South of S.I.O. Campus

WHEREAS it is imperative to the national interest to greatly expand the effort in oceanographic research in the immediate future, and

WHEREAS the Scripps Institution of Oceanography contributes a major part to the present effort and must assume a major responsibility for the expanded effort, and

WHEREAS the Scripps Institution of Oceanography is a world renowned Institution which attracts 500,000 visitors each year to its campus and aquarium and, thereby, reflects credit to the City, the State and the Nation, and

WHEREAS the present facilities are overcrowded and congested and the buildable area is extremely limited due to the topography of the site and the need to maintain close proximity to the ocean and shoreline, and

WHEREAS it is impossible, even with intensive land use planning to provide the necessary facilities including parking for the staff and visitors within the limits of the present site, and

WHEREAS, the Regents desire to maintain the Scripps Institution at the forefront in adding to man's knowledge and understanding of the ocean's innerspace which is vital to the nation's security today as never before and, thereby, reflect to the credit of the University, the City, the State, and the Nation; be it therefore

RESOLVED that in acquiring additional land adjoining the Scripps Institution of Oceanography campus on the south, the Regents, being mindful of the community interests, will plan the development of this property so as to:

(a) provide an attractive entrance to the campus with provisions for visitors off-street parking and convenient facilities for bus service to the campus.

(b) make a transition between the campus and the adjoining residential areas, preserving large areas of openness for landscaping and off-street parking which will enhance and not detract from the appearance of the area.

(c) preserve vistas as much as possible by careful location and design of buildings.

(d) enhance the area by erecting well designed, attractive buildings of high quality and by developing a park-like atmosphere in the grounds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Regents will not seek to acquire any additional land south of the land presently being acquired.
June 10, 1960

Dr. Clark W. Kerr, President
University of California
Administration Building
Berkeley, California

Dear Dr. Kerr:

At a meeting of the Board of Trustees of the La Jolla Town Council, held on Thursday, May 26, 1960, we further considered the matter of the proposed acquisition of private property by the University of California south of the present campus of Scripps Institution of Oceanography. Reports were heard from two Town Council committees, the Zoning Committee and the University of California Committee, regarding their investigations.

This was the third time that this property acquisition subject had come before our Board of Trustees for discussion and consideration. A number of property owners and residents also appeared at these Board Meetings to publicly state their views. Other pertinent facts and data were presented by officials of the University of California.

Our Board of Trustees, who are elected to office by the members of this organization, went into executive session and adopted the three Resolutions which are attached to this letter.

Your interest in our community and continued cooperation with this organization is greatly appreciated.

Very sincerely yours,
LA JOLLA TOWN COUNCIL

[Signature]

H. Bailey Gallison
Executive Manager

HBG:Jp
Attachment
RESOLUTIONS PERTAINING TO PRIVATE LAND ACQUISITION BY THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTH OF SCRIPPS INSTITUTION OF OCEANOGRAPHY, adopted by THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LA JOLLA TOWN COUNCIL, at a meeting held on Thursday, May 26, 1960, at the La Jolla Community Center, 615 Prospect Street, La Jolla, California

- - -

I. It was moved, duly seconded, and passed by a majority vote that the Board of Trustees of the La Jolla Town Council oppose the acquisition of R-1 property by the University of California south of the present Scripps Institution of Oceanography for expansion purposes.

II. It was moved, duly seconded, and unanimously passed by the Board of Trustees of the La Jolla Town Council that if Scripps Institution of Oceanography finds from their studies that they must acquire this 2.8 acres of R-1 property adjoining the campus to the south, we request the Board of Regents of the University of California to utilise the land with a landscaped park entrance with landscaped parking lot and one sunken three story building on the ocean front, as per the new plan submitted by the architect on Tuesday, May 17, 1960, before two La Jolla Town Council committees.

III. It was moved, duly seconded, and unanimously passed by the La Jolla Town Council Board of Trustees that a letter be sent to the Regents of the University of California requesting them to pass a Resolution that they do not intend to seek to acquire private property further south of this proposed acquisition, and that at no time in the future will they erect another building or add to the present building as indicated on the new plan submitted on May 17, 1960.

- - -

I hereby certify the above to be a true and exact copy of said Resolutions.

H. Bailey Gallison
Secretary

Dated: June 10, 1960

(SEAL)
Mr. Edwin W. Pauley  
Chairman, Board of Regents  
University of California  
717 Highland Avenue  
Los Angeles 38, California  

November 11, 1960

Dear Mr. Pauley:

This letter is about the proposed acquisition by the University of California of approximately three acres of land to the south of the area now occupied by the Scripps Institution of Oceanography. As a member of the Operations Committee of the La Jolla Town Council, I have become familiar with this problem during the past year. As you will see from the following discussion, I favor the acquisition, provided certain conditions can be satisfied. In order to explain my reasons, some of the history of this issue must be described.

The original University Committee appointed to study the matter by the La Jolla Town Council recommended opposition to the proposed acquisition.

A new committee was appointed to give the matter more extensive study. Having been appointed a member of that committee, I decided to make personally a thorough analytical study.

I called Dr. Revelle, whom I did not know personally, for facts and drawings. After a tour of the campus and a three-hour discussion of the master plan for the University, including the problems peculiar to Scripps and its expansion, I suggested lowering of the proposed building on the land to be acquired and moving it to the ocean front, because of the grade and contour of the land to La Jolla Shores Drive. Dr. Revelle said they would study the matter.

Very shortly thereafter, Plan Number Two was submitted as an ideal compromise.

In the interim, I had a civil engineer take sight lines to determine Coleman's fence top (this fence in itself precludes any view of the surf from his living room unless one is standing up in that room.) The engineer confirmed the fact that a laboratory building at the ocean front would not be as high as BL Residential buildings in the area from La Jolla Shores Drive to the ocean front.

I also consulted with one of the Los Angeles County Supervisors, formerly Head of the Planning Board, about a happy solution to a sudden change from a commercial zone to a R1 zone (single-family dwellings). He stated that, wherever possible, a gradual transition or buffer zone, adequately landscaped, is imperative.
Plan Number Two more than fulfills this requirement.

Upon looking at the first draft of Plan Number Two, I saw that here was an excellent opportunity to provide Scripps - which is featured in all the publicity literature of La Jolla as an asset to this town, and one of the town's great attractions - with the proper entrance, esthetically, to confirm the publicity. The proposed fountain pools in the entrance, the circular drive, and the low administration building all would contribute to this.

The end result of my careful analysis and study was the conclusion that Plan Number Two would be a definite improvement to this Institution and the town. If Scripps is the civic asset represented in La Jolla's publicity, then it behoves the town to cooperate in any progressive move to give it the proper entrance and approach from the standpoint of visitors driving north on La Jolla Shores Drive. And certainly the large laboratory building, which in its own location is proper and attractive, is too massive and too sudden a transition to the newcomer.

Upon completion of these studies, I attended several meetings of the University Committee. After thorough discussion, the Committee voted ten to two to approve the Number Two Plan. The Committee's resolution was substantially as follows:

"While we deplore the necessity of acquisition, if, after further study, the Board of Regents feels it cannot find other suitable land, we request the use of the Number Two Plan, with much landscaping, and a fifty foot street on the south boundary as a buffer zone." (The latter was my suggestion.)

The matter was then presented to the Board of Trustees of the La Jolla Town Council, which in a subsequent meeting opposed the proposed acquisition by a vote of thirteen to eight.

Subsequently, a new UCB committee was formed, apparently to rubber stamp this opposition.

In my opinion, the petition of Admiral Callahan, and to some extent the Board's action, does not represent the majority opinion of the people of La Jolla.

It is imperative that a long range view be taken in such matters, and I propose that, rather than opposing any change, progress be controlled by the coordinated effort of all parties affected thereby.

Ordinarily, own-zoning is explored by La Jolla residents as injuring property values. There are, however, exceptions. This is one, providing the Plan is carried out as projected.

It is my contention that the value of the hill lots, as now constituted, directly across from the massive laboratory buildings, is impaired, and that
they are not readily marketable for residential use. They will become less marketable as the traffic and parking problem becomes more acute in that area. This contention was verified by consultation with those more expert than I.

There is only one serious reservation in my mind, and that is the matter of beach rights and use which must be preserved in the public domain in some form or another. Otherwise, the acquisition of this property by the University is essential.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

cc: Mrs. Norman Chandler
    President Clark Kerr
January 23, 1962

RECEIVED

JAN 24, 1962

CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE

Attention: J. W. Tippett
Building Program Coordinator

Re: Southern Entrance - Kellogg
Property Acquisition

I talked with City Attorney Firestone yesterday regarding the permanent easement requested by the City for access to the beach over our property. As you know, the Regents at their November meeting indicated that they were not willing to give a permanent easement, but, instead, would give only a revocable license.

Apparently, at Firestone's request, a member of the City Planning Department was present. I recall only his first name as being "Les." Perhaps with this identification you will know who he was. The latter is a very strong advocate of public access to any and all beaches, and because of his strong views Firestone was reluctant to recommend to the Council any backing away from the concept of our agreeing to provide some permanent right of access, not necessarily in any one location, but nonetheless guaranteeing an alternative location should the one initially agreed upon be needed by us in connection with future developments.

The argument I advanced in support of the Regents' position was that the demand by the City for a permanent easement of access to the beach, where none has ever existed before, was not one which was reasonably related to the closing of the street, as was, for example, the problem of making provision for parking spaces lost by such closure. Firestone appeared impressed by this argument, and conceded that the City in effect was "extorting" something from the University that it otherwise would not have the opportunity to do, although he would not so express himself until after this "Les" had left the office.

Firestone drove me to the airport, and en route stated that he would not fight us on the point before the Council, but that he felt the matter was one as to which he could make no independent determination of his own. He suggested that a representative of the campus appear at 9:00 a.m. before the Tuesday or Thursday Council meeting and discuss the University's position with the Council members.
Chancellor Herbert F. York
January 23, 1962
Page Two

Since the matter appears to be one essentially of negotiation, it seems to me that it is best handled on the local campus level. I would suggest that you designate someone to appear before the Council, as Mr. Firestone advises. The presentation to the Council might emphasize that the access condition is not one reasonably related to the road closure problem, and that we feel this condition is an unfair one for the City to impose. Since we are spending a great deal of money to beautify the southern entrance a right of access in the general public over this area may be productive of undesirable litter as well as possible trampling of shrubs and flowers, etc.

John P. Sparrow
Associate Counsel

cc: Frank K. Crouch
November 9, 1961

Mr. J. V. THOMPSON:

Agreements Pertaining to Land South of Scripps Institute of Oceanography,
San Diego

I am attaching herewith a mimeo-copy of the draft copy of the item going to the Regents on this subject. There may be further changes in the wording but this gives you the general idea of how it will look. You will note that this is scheduled as a special item which means that it is to be preceded with demonstration materials and background discussion. Will you please prepare for this purpose a map, suitable for demonstration before the Committee, showing the essential features of the area as they are related to the particular elements of the agreement. This should be delivered to Los Angeles in time for the Committee meeting on Thursday, November 16.

F. L. Cressah
University Engineer

[Signature]

J. B. Conard
S. F. Bush
teaching would be lost. Provost Saunders concurred with the Chancellor, citing as an example the success of the San Francisco Medical Center where dentistry and medicine are part of the integrated medical unit.

Regent Paulley was concerned about the parking situation that might arise. Mr. Becton indicated that there is provision in the Master Plan for the Los Angeles campus for adequate parking facilities adjacent to the Medical Center.

Chancellor Murphy added that with the development of Basic Sciences Unit 2A and the School of Dentistry, and the relocation and expansion of the Student Health Service facilities, the Medical Center is another step toward fulfillment of the original and fundamental philosophy of a completely integrated medical facility.

The Committee then discussed the design of the Humanities Building to be constructed on the Davis campus and the proposed landscaping of the area. In this discussion it was pointed out that further study would need to be made of the design details, and Regent Boyd suggested that Consulting Landscape Architect Halprin might wish to present to the Committee the details of the landscaping plot. It was agreed that these details would be referred back to the Committee for approval before working drawings are authorized and bids solicited. It was understood, however, that for the purpose of submission of the schematic plans to the State in support of the University's Building Program, the Committee approved the plans as submitted.

Thus, upon motion duly made and seconded, the Committee approved the schematic plans for the projects listed above as presented (including landscaping for the Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics, San Diego) and subject to funding of those projects included in the 1962-63 Capital Improvement Program and subject to final approval by the Committee of the design detail and the landscaping plans for the Humanities Building at Davis, authorized the preparation of working drawings and the solicitation of bids.

AGREEMENTS RELATING TO LAND SOUTH OF SCRIPPS INSTITUTION OF OCEANOGRAPHY, SAN DIEGO:

3. Vice President--Business Morgan recalled that, at the time, the Regents acquired approximately three acres of land south of Scripps Institution of Oceanography, the City of San Diego was requested to vacate a street easement running through the property, with the understanding that a new street right-of-way would be provided by the Regents for public use. The City of San Diego has advised that, in order to proceed with this street closing, a map of the property will have to be filed which will place all of the property into a single parcel and will indicate the abandonment of the right-of-way through the property. The map also will define an easement to the City of San Diego, with right of ingress and egress, for the construction and maintenance of a sewer line and general utilities.

This map has been prepared and a preliminary filing has been made. However, the map cannot be officially filed until two additional conditions relating to public parking and public access to the beach have been met.

Vice President Morgan recommended that, in order to proceed with the improvements to the property, for which bids have been taken and a construction contract awarded, the Regents agree to make campus parking facilities
available to the public outside of regular University hours on the same basis that they are made available during regular University hours to University personnel who do not have annual parking permits, and to permit an appropriate public beach access across University property, such public use and public access to be subject to revocability by the University.

Upon motion of Regent Watson, duly seconded, the Committee approved the recommendation. (Item 5-H hereafter)

COMMUNICATION:

4. The Assistant Secretary reported receipt of a copy of a resolution adopted by the Newport Harbor Chamber of Commerce, recommending and encouraging serious consideration of the use of the name "Irvine" in the title and name of the new Orange County Branch of the University of California.

The Committee directed that the resolution be referred to the President.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

5. The Committee voted to present the following recommendations to The Regents:

SITE APPROVAL, RADIOACTIVE WASTE DISPOSAL AREA, LOS ANGELES:
A. That the facilities for Radioactive Waste Disposal, to be constructed on the Los Angeles campus, be located at Los Angeles Grid Coordinates approximately 166 south and 2500 west.

SITE APPROVAL, BUILDING AND GROUNDS OFFICE BUILDING, SAN FRANCISCO:
B. That the Buildings and Grounds Office Building to be constructed on the San Francisco campus be located at San Francisco Grid Co-ordinates approximately 975 south and 350 west.

APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER, STUDENT UNION BUILDING AND ALTERATIONS TO KIRCHHOFF HALL, LOS ANGELES:
C. That The Regents approve Change Order No. 28 to the contract with F. K. Young Construction Company for the construction of the Student Union Building and Alterations to Kirchhoff Hall, Los Angeles, which would increase the contract amount by $26,123 and extend the contract time by 30 calendar days.

SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT TO ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION CONTRACT NO. AT (04-1)-GEN-12, LOS ANGELES:
D. That the appropriate officer or officers be authorized to execute a supplement to Contract No. AT (04-1)-GEN-12 with the Atomic Energy Commission, transferring title of Temporary Building 5-N, including the adjacent greenhouse, Los Angeles campus, to The Regents, and releasing the Atomic Energy Commission from the obligation to remove the buildings and restore the site.

CHANGE IN NAME, LIST OF APPROVED ELECTRIC TRACTION ELEVATOR COMPANIES:
E. That the motion of The Regents on July 7, 1959, listing companies from which bids on electric traction elevators shall be solicited, be changed by substituting the name "Dover Corporation,"
November 16, 1961

Elevator Division" for "Shepard Elevator Company."

AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT—ENGINEERING SERVICES, ELEVATOR ALTERATIONS,
LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY, BERKELEY:

P. That the contract with Risser and Hanflik dated September 12,
1960, for Engineering Services for the Bantron Shielding Foundation and
Support Structures be amended to designate the firm of Earl and Wright
to carry on the services heretofore furnished under the contract with
Risser and Hanflik by Edward M. Hanflik, deceased.

LIMITING NUMBER OF BIDDERS FOR ELEVATOR WORK IN HEALTH SCIENCES INSTRUCTION
AND RESEARCH BUILDING, STEP 1, SAN FRANCISCO:

G. That bidders on the electric elevators to be installed in the
Health Sciences Instruction and Research Building, Step 1, San Francisco,
be limited to Otis Elevator Company and Westinghouse Electric Corporation.

AGREEMENT RELATING TO LAND SOUTH OF SCRIPPS INSTITUTION OF OCEANOGRAPHY,
SAN DIEGO:

H. That, in regard to the approximately three acres of land
recently acquired south of the Scripps Institution of Oceanoaphy, San
Diego:

(1) The Regents consent to the preparation and recording
of a subdivision map for the purpose of defining an easement to the City
of San Diego with right of ingress and egress for the construction and
maintenance of a sewer line and general utilities, said map to be known
as "University of California Subdivision Unit Number One" and said map
to indicate a new right-of-way for that portion of El Paseo Grande which
will connect La Jolla Shores Drive with El Paseo Grande, south of the
campus.

(2) The Regents agree to make campus parking facilities
available to the public outside of regular University hours on the same
basis that they are made available during regular University hours to
University personnel who do not have annual parking permits, such public
use to be subject to revocability by the University.

(3) The Regents agree to permit an appropriate public beach
access across University property, such public access to be subject to
revocability by the University.

(4) The appropriate officer or officers be authorized to
execute any documents necessary to accomplish the above purposes.

AWARD OF CONTRACT, RESIDENCE HALLS UNIT NO. 4 AND DINING COMMONS NO. 2
ADDITION, SANTA BARBARA:

I. That, subject to R.E.A. approval, and subject to funding,
the appropriate officer or officers be authorized to execute a contract
in the amount of $2,137,000 with J. R. Allen and Company, Santa Barbara,
a California corporation, for construction of Residence Halls Unit No. 4
and Addition to Dining Commons No. 2, Santa Barbara, the award being to the
low bidder, base bids, or base bids and alternates considered.

-5-
June 5, 1961

MR. J. W. TEPPER:

Development of New Land South of the
San Dieguito Institute of Graduate Studies

In response to your letter of May 9, 1961 suggesting that this
project be funded from the 1957 Budget Act Item 324-J, I have reviewed
the history of this appropriation with the Dean and find that it is
not an appropriate source of funds for the proposed construction work.
This opinion is generally confirmed to by Mr. Dean and Vice President
Hagen and by the State Department of Education with whom it has been
Informally discussed. This is to advise you of this timing and also to
let you know that we will not entertain the request to bring this item before
The Regents in June with a request for funding in accordance with the budget
you have submitted. A request of this nature involving the president and approvi-
sations of a different amount of State funds must be discussed with me.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

V. K. Cowles

University System

cc: R. H. Barnett
R. J. Koon
J. V. Bunkle
L. E. Hagen
R. L. Hettle

[Address]

[Handwritten notes]
May 2, 1961

Mr. J. S. Tippets:

Use of La Jolla Expanded Campus Planning Funds for
New Land South of Scripps Institution, San Diego.

FOR your information, this item is now being taken to
The Regents. I have your recent letter on this subject, and
after we have reviewed it, and in the event there seems to be
a possibility of properly using these funds, we will then take
appropriate steps to clear with the Department of Finance.

It is our feeling at the moment that the Regents will not act
on this.

F. E. Crooch
University Engineer

RECEIVED

cc: R. H. Bremmer
    E. V. Evans
    J. V. Hutchins
    C. H. Morgan
    E. H. Revelle

RAS / 301

BOX 18

FOLDER 1
May 5, 1961

F.K. Crowsh

Re: Development of New Land South of S.I.O. Campus

Enclosed is a preliminary project budget for the "Development of Campus Entrances and Parking on new S.I.O. Land." This is the project for which the Regents approved schematic plans and authorized preparation of working drawings at last month's meeting.

On January 26 you wrote to me regarding this project (copy enclosed) and discussed the possibility of using uncommitted balances in Account Nos. 89020 and 99070. These funds were appropriated in 1957 as item 394-J of Chapter 600/1957. Both planning and construction were provided for under this appropriation as indicated by the description "Master Planning for Graduate Program in Science and Technology and Construct Initial Buildings." Account #89020 was related to planning for Utilities and Site Development for the Institute of Science and Technology.

In October the Regents took several actions which greatly modified the 1958 major capital improvement program for the La Jolla Campus. This came about due to the impact of the decision of the Regents to establish a General campus in San Diego instead of merely expanding S.I.O. to encompass a broader program in science and technology. In order to avoid a delay of several years while the general campus site problem was being resolved building priorities for the first Science and Technology buildings were deferred in favor of Laboratory Building #3 on the S.I.O. campus which was moved up from statewide priority 152 to priority 37 with the understanding that the building would be used exclusively to house the new programs in science and technology (now called School of Science & Engineering) until the site for the new campus was selected and new buildings built, after which Laboratory Building #3 was to revert to the use of S.I.O.

This change in midstream had an effect on the pre-funded parts of the projects that were deferred. Titles and descriptions were not changed to reflect these changes but it seems to me the intent was clear that these funds were to be used in establishing what is now known as the School of Science & Engineering, and the fact that the location was temporary should be taken into account in appraising the appropriateness of funds which were pre-funded before the switch was made.

It is true, as you pointed out in your memo dated May 3, that the money in these accounts was originally intended for planning. However, inasmuch as a large part of the same appropriation was earmarked for construction it would seem to me that more of this fund might appropriately be used for construction in light of the above explanation. Other arguments in favor of this are:
(a) The entrance and parking problems have developed at this time as a direct result of initiating the School of Science & Engineering on the already crowded S.I.O. campus and are thus directly related to the purposes for which the funds were originally appropriated.

(b) The campus entrance and parking area development should be completed at the earliest possible date for public relations reasons as well as the critical need. The success of our long negotiations with the owners, Town Council and neighbors in acquiring the new land is largely based on our assurances that we intended to improve the property as soon as possible. A delay now would not only handicap the campus from an operational standpoint but would be taken as an act of not keeping faith with the community which might bear bitter fruit for a long time.

Our records show the following balances in these accounts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account 1</th>
<th>Account 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$9790 (909790)</td>
<td>$45,789*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$9820 (909820)</td>
<td>23,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$27,306</td>
<td>$69,504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Higher than your records show due to charges made by error and since recharged to proper account.

It is recommended that these funds be used to finance the Development of the Campus Entrance and Parking on the Expanded S.I.O. campus and that if necessary it be presented to the Regents for approval at this month's meeting in La Jolla.

The remaining $12,000 needed for this project will come from parking revenue on hand. If this requires Regents approval it should also be presented this month.

J.W. Tippett

JW:Rg
Enclosure (budget)

cc: K.R. Drummond
    R.K. Revelle
    J.W. Hutchison


October 24, 1960

Mr. George Griffiths
2802 Fifth Avenue
San Diego, California

Dear Mr. Griffiths:

I am sorry that I missed you when I called your office on October 18. I was told that you were in the neighborhood examining a piece of property and would return shortly, but when it came time to take my plane for the East, I had to leave.

I wanted to tell you that it is my understanding that The Regents have every intention of going forward with the acquisition of your property and certain parts of the Kellogg property just south of the La Jolla campus. However, the matter will not come before The Regents for final action until the meeting of the Board on November 19. You will recall there has been considerable discussion about the need of this land and the use to which it is being put and some dissatisfaction on the part of some of your neighbors regarding the proposed construction. I believe this is all being restudied, and it is now my understanding that the plans for the property will not cause a break in the line of view of the beach and ocean that was possible with the first plans, and there is no longer an objection to the University's carrying out its program as there was with the program previously developed. It seems that the changes should be acceptable to most of the neighbors, and I hope to all of them.

As stated before, the matter will come before The Regents on the 19th, and either Mr. Richard F. Hartsook, Real Estate Officer of this department, or I will be in touch with you shortly after that.

Yours very truly,

Original signed by Robert W. Underhill

RMU/fhg

bcc: Mr. Allan Blackstock

Note to Mr. Blackstock: Thank you for your letter of October 21, 1960. I think my letter to Mr. Griffiths will cover the situation for the present.

R. M. U.